

Supplementary Material

Lemma 3.1. Assume $\epsilon_{i,j}$ for $(i,j) \in \Omega$ are i.i.d. sub-gaussian with $\sigma = \|\epsilon_{i,j}\|_{\psi_1}$. Then with probability $1 - \frac{e}{N^{4ch}}$, $\|\pi_B(\Delta)\|_* \leq \|\pi_{\bar{B}}(\Delta)\|_* + \frac{2c^2\sigma^2\sqrt{mn}}{N\lambda} \log^2 N$. Here $h > 0$ is an absolute constant associated with the sub-gaussian noise.

Proof. Because \hat{X} is the optimal solution to Eq.(2),

$$\frac{1}{N} \|Y - \hat{X}\|_\Omega^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{mn}} \|\hat{X}\|_* \leq \frac{1}{N} \|Y - \check{X}\|_\Omega^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{mn}} \|\check{X}\|_* \quad (10)$$

Plug in $Y_{i,j} = X_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}$ and reorganize the terms, we get

$$\frac{1}{N} \|\Delta\|_\Omega^2 - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{(i,j) \in \Omega} \epsilon_{i,j} \Delta_{i,j} \leq \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{mn}} (\|\check{X}\|_* - \|\check{X} + \Delta\|_*) \quad (11)$$

From our assumptions, the noise $\epsilon_{i,j} \sim \text{Sub}(\sigma^2)$. From a Hoeffding-type inequality (see proposition 5.10 in [15]), with probability at least $1 - \frac{e}{N^{4ch}}$,

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \Omega} \epsilon_{i,j} \Delta_{i,j} \leq 2c\sigma \log N \|\Delta\|_\Omega \quad (12)$$

where $h > 0$ is a constant. Combining the two results yields

$$\frac{1}{N} \|\Delta\|_\Omega^2 \leq \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{mn}} (\|\check{X}\|_* - \|\check{X} + \Delta\|_*) + \frac{4c^2\sigma^2 \log^2 N}{N} \quad (13)$$

By triangle inequality,

$$\|\check{X} + \Delta\|_* \geq \|\pi_A(\check{X}) + \pi_B(\Delta)\|_* - \|\pi_A(\check{X})\|_* - \|\pi_{\bar{B}}(\Delta)\|_* \quad (14)$$

We assume \check{X} has low rank and A, B are two orthogonal subspace, then

$$\|\check{X} + \Delta\|_* \geq \|\check{X}\|_* + \|\pi_B(\Delta)\|_* - \|\pi_{\bar{B}}(\Delta)\|_* \quad (15)$$

Substitute the result back to (13) and combine with fact that $\|\Delta\|_\Omega^2 \geq 0$, we have

$$\|\pi_B(\Delta)\|_* \leq \|\pi_{\bar{B}}(\Delta)\|_* + \frac{2c^2\sigma^2\sqrt{mn}}{N\lambda} \log^2 N \quad (16)$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Assume RSC for the set $D(b, n, N)$ with parameter $\kappa > 0$ where $b = \frac{c\sigma\sqrt{m}}{\lambda_0\alpha}$. Let $\lambda = \lambda_0 c\sigma \frac{\log N}{\sqrt{N}}$, then we have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}} \|\Delta\|_F \leq 2c\sigma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} + \frac{\sqrt{2r}}{\kappa} \right) \frac{\log N}{\sqrt{N}}$ with probability at least $1 - \frac{e}{N^{4ch}}$ where $h, c > 0$ are constants.

Proof. Combining (13) and (12) yields,

$$\frac{1}{N} \|\Delta\|_\Omega^2 \leq \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{mn}} \|\pi_{\bar{B}}(\Delta)\|_* + \frac{4c^2\sigma^2 \log^2 N}{N} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2r}\lambda}{\sqrt{mn}} \|\Delta\|_F + \frac{4c^2\sigma^2 \log^2 N}{N} \quad (17)$$

where the second inequality is because the rank of $\pi_{\bar{B}}(\Delta)$ is at most $2r$. From RSC property,

$$\frac{k}{mn} \|\Delta\|_F^2 \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2r}\lambda}{\sqrt{mn}} \|\Delta\|_F + \frac{4c^2\sigma^2 \log^2 N}{N} \quad (18)$$

The bound on $\|\Delta\|_F$ can be subsequently obtained by solving a quadratic equation, and we get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}} \|\Delta\|_F \leq \frac{2c\sigma \log N}{\sqrt{\kappa}N} + 2\sqrt{2r} \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} \quad (19)$$

Substituting λ completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.3. *If $\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}} \|\hat{X} - \check{X}\|_F \leq \delta$, then $\|\hat{\Sigma} - \check{\Sigma}\|_F \leq \sqrt{2}\delta$*

Proof. Let PSQ^T be the SVD of $\sqrt{R}X$, then upon a unitary transformation $U = R^{-\frac{1}{2}}PS^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $V = QS^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Correspondingly $\Sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}}VV^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}}QSQ^T$. Note that QSQ^T is equivalent to the polar decomposition of X defined as $(X^T X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The perturbation bound for polar decomposition (see [9]) then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma - \check{\Sigma}\|_F &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{mn}} \|\sqrt{R}X - \sqrt{R}\check{X}\|_F \\ &= \sqrt{2} \|X - \check{X}\|_F \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

□

Theorem 3.4. *Assume \check{X}_i are i.i.d samples from a distribution with support only in a subspace of dimension r and bounded norm $\|\check{X}_i\| \leq \alpha\sqrt{m}$. Let β_1 and β_r be the smallest and largest eigenvalues of Σ^* . Then, for large enough n , with probability at least $1 - \frac{r}{n^2}$,*

$$\|\check{\Sigma} - \Sigma^*\|_F \leq 2\sqrt{r}\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\beta_r \log n}{\beta_1 n}} + o\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right) \quad (21)$$

Proof. (sketch) We first bound $\|\Theta^n - \Theta^*\|_{op} \leq 2\alpha\sqrt{\frac{\beta_r \log n}{n}}$ by matrix Bernstein inequalities. If β_1 is the minimum eigenvalue, $\|\check{\Sigma} - \Sigma^*\|_{op} = \|(\Theta^n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\Theta^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{op}$ is upper bounded by $\frac{1}{2\beta_1} \|\Theta^n - \Theta^*\|_{op}$. Finally we have used $\|\check{\Sigma} - \Sigma^*\|_F \leq \sqrt{r} \|\check{\Sigma} - \Sigma^*\|_{op}$. □

Combining this with the previous theorem, we find that for large enough n and c , with probability at least $1 - \frac{2r}{n^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma^*\|_F &\leq c\sigma \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{6}{\kappa}} + \frac{4\sqrt{2r}\lambda_0\alpha}{\kappa} \right) \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{r}\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\beta_r \log n}{\beta_1 n}} + o\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose $\|\Sigma - \Sigma^*\|_F \leq \delta \ll \beta_1$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\gamma)$. For any $\hat{x} \in \text{row}((Q^*)^T)$, our observation $x_\phi = \hat{x}_\phi + \epsilon_\phi$ where $\epsilon_\phi \sim \text{Sub}(\sigma^2)$ is the noise vector. The predicted ratings over the remaining entries are given by $\hat{x}_{\phi^c} = \Sigma_{\phi^c, \phi}(\lambda'I + \Sigma_{\phi, \phi})^{-1}x_\phi$. Then, with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c_2 \min(c_1^4, \sqrt{|\phi|}c_1^2))$,*

$$\|x_{\phi^c} - \hat{x}_{\phi^c}\|_F \leq 2\sqrt{\lambda'} + \delta \left(\sqrt{\gamma \frac{m}{|\phi|}} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{\|\hat{x}\|_F}{\sqrt{\beta_1}} + \frac{2c_1\sigma|\phi|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{\lambda'}} \right)$$

where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are constants.

Proof. (sketch) We consider an equivalent optimization problem

$$\hat{x}_{\phi^c} = \underset{y}{\text{argmin}} [x_\phi; y]^T (\lambda'I + \Sigma)^{-1} [x_\phi; y] \quad (23)$$

where the coordinates ϕ are arranged on top. From optimality of \hat{x}_{ϕ^c} in comparison to $y = \hat{x}_{\phi^c}$ we can upper bound $\|[0; \hat{x}_{\phi^c} - \hat{x}_{\phi^c}]^T (\lambda'I + \Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_F$ (*) in terms of $\|\hat{x}\|$ and a noise term. The difference vector $[0; \hat{x}_{\phi^c} - \hat{x}_{\phi^c}]$ can be decomposed as $[x_1; y_1] + [-x_1; y_2]$ where $[x_1; y_1]^T \in \text{col}(Q^*)$ and $[-x_1; y_2]^T \in \text{col}((Q^*)^\perp)$ and the goal is to upper bound them separately. By triangle inequality, we have $\|[0; \hat{x}_{\phi^c} - \hat{x}_{\phi^c}]^T (\lambda'I + \Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_F \geq \|[-x_1; y_2]^T (\lambda'I + \Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_F - \|[x_1; y_1]^T (\lambda'I + \Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_F$. For a small δ we can get upper and lower bounds on $(\lambda'I + \Sigma)^{-1}$ projected to the two orthogonal subspaces. Applying these bounds to the previous inequality and (*), we can bound $\|[-x_1; y_2]\|_F$ as well as $\|x_1\|_F$. Finally, since $\phi \in D(\gamma)$ we can estimate $\|[x_1; y_1]\|_F$ as a function of $\|x_1\|_F$. The result follows by combining these and triangle inequality $\|x_{\phi^c} - \hat{x}_{\phi^c}\|_F \leq \|[x_1; y_1]\|_F + \|[-x_1; y_2]\|_F$. □

Theorem 4.2. Let $z_i = \text{sign}(r'_i)$ and $h = (\sum_{i=1}^m |r'_i|)/m$. The minimizing distribution of (9) is given by $\Pr(u = zh) = \Pr(u = -zh) = 1/2$.

Proof. Expanding the objective yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{p}} \|uu^T - r'r'^T\|_F^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{i,j} \left\{ u_i^2 u_j^2 - 2u_i u_j r'_i r'_j + r_i'^2 r_j'^2 \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\sum_i u_i^2)^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[(\sum_i u_i r'_i)^2] + (\sum_i r_i'^2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

From the privacy constraint, $\mathbb{E}[(\sum_i u_i^2)^2] \geq \mathbb{E}^2[\sum_i u_i^2] = m^2 v^2$. In addition, because $E[u_i u_j] r'_i r'_j \leq \sqrt{E[u_i^2] E[u_j^2]} |r'_i r'_j| = v |r'_i r'_j|$, $\mathbb{E}[(\sum_i u_i r'_i)^2] \leq v (\sum_i |r'_i|)^2$. Therefore the objective is lower bounded as

$$m^2 v^2 - 2v (\sum_i |r'_i|)^2 + (\sum_i r_i'^2)^2$$

The lower bound is attained when $u = \sqrt{v} z a$, where a is a single binary random variable taken values in $\{-1, +1\}$ with equal probability. Finally, optimizing v gives $v = (\frac{\sum_i |r'_i|}{m})^2$.

□