

A Proof of Lemma 3.2

Lemma 3.2. *Let $M' \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be a symmetric matrix, with eigenvalues $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_d$ and associated eigenvectors v'_1, \dots, v'_d . If $M = \mathcal{P}(M')$ projects M' onto the feasible region of Problem 3.3 with respect to the Frobenius norm, then M will be the unique feasible matrix which has the same set of eigenvectors as M' , with the associated eigenvalues $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ satisfying:*

$$\sigma_i = \max(0, \min(1, \sigma'_i + S))$$

with $S \in \mathbb{R}$ being chosen in such a way that $\sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i = k$.

Proof. The problem of finding M can be written in the form of a convex optimization problem as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize : } & \|M - M'\|_F^2 \\ \text{subject to : } & 0 \preceq M \preceq I, \text{tr } M = k. \end{aligned}$$

Because the objective is strongly convex, and the constraints are convex, this problem must have a unique solution. Letting $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ and v_1, \dots, v_d be the eigenvalues and associated eigenvectors of M , we may write the KKT first-order optimality conditions (Boyd & Vandenberghe, 2004) as:

$$0 = M - M' + \mu I - \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i v_i v_i^T + \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i v_i v_i^T, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where μ is the Lagrange multiplier for the constraint $\text{tr } M = k$, and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0$ are the Lagrange multipliers for the constraints $0 \preceq M$ and $M \preceq I$, respectively. The complementary slackness conditions are that $\alpha_i \sigma_i = \beta_i (\sigma_i - 1) = 0$. In addition, M must be feasible.

Because every term in Equation A.1 *except* for M' has the same set of eigenvectors as M , it follows that an optimal M must have the same set of eigenvectors as M' , so we may take $v_i = v'_i$, and write Equation A.1 purely in terms of the eigenvalues:

$$\sigma_i = \sigma'_i - \mu + \alpha_i - \beta_i.$$

Complementary slackness and feasibility with respect to the constraints $0 \preceq M \preceq I$ gives that if $0 \leq \sigma'_i - \mu \leq 1$, then $\sigma_i = \sigma'_i - \mu$. Otherwise, α_i and β_i will be chosen so as to clip σ_i to the active constraint:

$$\sigma_i = \max(0, \min(1, \sigma'_i - \mu)).$$

Primal feasibility with respect to the constraint $\text{tr } M = k$ gives that μ must be chosen in such a way that $\text{tr } M = k$, completing the proof. \square