

A Proof of Proposition 1

Proposition 1 (Minimal Representation Insensitive to Policy Bias). *With the Markov chain assumption defined by Eq. (3), for any hidden embedding h_k , we can derive the upper bound of the $I(h_k; O)$*

$$I(h_k; O) \leq I(h_k; x) - I(x; y) \leq I(z; x) - I(x; y) \leq I(z; x), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where the last term $I(x; y)$ is a constant with respect to the training process.

Proof. From the Data Processing Inequality (DPI) [18], in this Markov chain, we can obtain

$$I(z; x) \geq I(z; y, O) = I(z; O) + I(z; y|O). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

For the second term $I(z; y|O)$, suppose y and O are independent, we can further factorize it and derive

$$I(z; y|O) = H(y|O) - H(y|z, O) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$= H(y) - H(y|z, O) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\geq H(y) - H(y|z) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$= I(z; y). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

As we assume that z is sufficient, we have $I(z; y) = I(x; y)$. Plugging above result back into Eq.(A.2) yields

$$I(z; x) \geq I(z; O) + I(z; y|O) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\geq I(z; O) + I(z; y) \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$= I(z; O) + I(x; y), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

which indicates that $I(z; x) - I(x; y)$ bounds $I(z; O)$. And for any hidden embeddings h_l , according to DPI, we have

$$I(h_k; z) \leq I(z; x) \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, L\}, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

which yields the final result. \square